

REMARKS

In the Office Action dated March 16, 2006, the Examiner objected to claims 3, 6 and 18 for including minor informalities, rejected claims 1, 2, 7, 16 and 27 under 35 USC 102 as anticipated by Cliff (US Patent 5,689,195), rejected claim 17 under 35 USC 103 as unpatentable over Cliff and Park (US Patent 6,359,468), objected to claims 3-6, 8-15 as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but allowable if re-written in independent form, and indicated that claims 18-26 are allowable. In response thereto, the Applicants have amended claims 1, 4, 5, 6, and 18 and cancelled claim 3. Claims 1, 2 and 4-27 remain at issue.

The Claim Objections

The subject matter of claim 3 has been cancelled and incorporated into claim 1. Both claims 1 and 6 have been amended to correct the antecedent basis problem noted by the Examiner. Claim 18 has also been amended as suggested by the Examiner.

The Art Rejection

The Applicants have amended all the claims to include subject matter that the Examiner has indicated is allowable.

Applicant believes that all pending claims are allowable and respectfully requests a Notice of Allowance for this application from the Examiner. Should the Examiner believe that a telephone conference would expedite the prosecution of this application, the undersigned can be reached at the telephone number set out below.

Respectfully submitted,
BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS, LLP

James W. Rose
Reg. No. 34,239

P.O. Box 70250
Oakland, CA 94612-0250
(650) 961-8300